

SPRIN-D

Number	Question	Answer
1	Regarding the wallet operating models (state/ hybrid/ private): Does the SPRIND challenge now represent a German decision in favor of private wallets?	No, we are looking for prototypes / the decision was not made yet by the government / the challenge will help the government to see what is needed / no pre-decision from the government
2	Eine Anforderung ist, dass die entwickelten Prototypen ARF-konform sein müssen. Es gibt Optionen wie bspw. D, die aktuell nicht konform zum derzeitigen ARF sind. Können diese Optionen dennoch berücksichtigt werden, da davon ausgegangen werden kann, dass eine Anpassung des ARF durchgeführt wird? Translation: One requirement is that the prototypes developed must be ARF-compliant. There are options such as D that are currently not compliant with the current ARF. Can these options still be considered, as it can be assumed that the ARF will be adapted?	That is why we refer to the architecture proposal as one of the requirements. We intentionally added these options to the architecture proposal to give you the opportunity to test things that are technically Germany specific and if it is going to work we will also provide and request additions to the ARF for those options.
3	Is it possible that different partners work together as one team?	The legal fact is that we will have one partner who is signing the contract / it is up to you - you can have your own sub contracts / just tell us what you think you need to achieve the goals and explain it to us why this is the most powerful setting for you.
4	QES and SCA are not precisely defined in the current ARF. Will the requirements for the QES and SCA be defined more precisely in the course of the innovation competition and will a QTSP service be provided similar to the PID service?	You are right, they are not defined yet in the ARF. We know that the wallet needs to support that, that's why we put in the challenge. You may come up with your own ideas how that could work. The QTSP is acting as a relying party. As we are getting more information we will bring it to the challenge. Legally we can change the conditions before the next stage. We adopt in the end the requirements for an upcoming stage to make sure we are getting the results we are looking for.
6	Is the interoperability of this solution to the European standard and other national solutions of the EUDI Wallet also requested? I hope so.	The interoperability to the European Standard (the ARF) is required as this is the way to be interoperable with the EUDI Wallets in other member states as well.
7	Who is part of the Jury?	Jury will be announced in a bit. You will receive information about the people on the jury with the invitation to the pitch at the latest.
8	The source code should be disclosed to the jury. How should this be done? Which persons or organizations are members of the jury?	No other teams have access. The Jury will be announced in a bit. You will receive information about the people on the jury with the invitation to the pitch at the latest.
9	How do you pair the demand for radical new ideas and breakthrough innovation SPRIN-D aims for with the specifics of the EUDI call, where everything from protocols over data structures to testbed is already pre-defined and formulated as hard requirements? This is going exactly contrary to disruption. You mentioned yourself that interoperability is the main focus and the goal is to adopt the already defined standards into a German implementation. Would this not just be an integration project? I'm getting very mixed signals from this call. What exactly are you looking for?	The technical standards that had been selected are important for interoperability of the wallet prototypes with RPs and Issuers in the LSP POTENTIAL (and with other EUDI Wallet implementations). The focus of the Funke is not on defining new protocols or data formats. What we are looking for is how to build identification into the wallet?. We are looking for how do we build a PID that is user friendly, privacy preserving, secure, and has the potential for use by a lot of the users in Germany. Security requirements, usability and user reach typically contradict each other. That's why we are looking for creative ideas within the wallet. The innovation happens within the wallet. Clarification: you may propose to add protocols/data formats on top of the required protocols/formats as long as you fulfill the baseline requirements and justify the addition through additional gains regarding security, privacy, user experience, or reach.
10	Hi! Super glad to be on the call. A couple questions: 1) What are the key due dates for the prototype wallets? 2) Are there any qualification barriers to entry (like limits on public investment)? If so, what are they? 3) If you can share, who else is attending and is interested in applying? 4) Who can we contact with future questions?	1) Please see Tender and Participation Agreement 2) Please see and Participation Agreement 3) We will not share any details of submitting teams 4) <u>Please contact: funke-eudi@sprind.org</u>

SPRIND

11	<p>How do you approach relevant pre-existing patent rights held by applicants? Ideally we find a way to grant a license that allows for the intended open source application. Will this be considered during initial selection, or should we talk about it after the pitches?</p>	<p>Funded track: The participant shall publish the results as well as all necessary pre-existing rights and sideground IPRs under the open-source licensing terms and conditions of "Apache 2.0" open source software license and "Attribution 4.0 International CC (Creative Commons) license" on the platform OpenCoDe (see § 5 (2) No. 6 Participation Agreement Funded Track).</p> <p>Non-funding track: The participant must upon request of SPRIND grant SPRIND at any time irrevocable, non-transferrable, and non-exclusive licenses to use all IPRs attached to the results under fair and reasonable conditions until their expiry date. The participant must upon request of SPRIND provide SPRIND with a non-exclusive, irrevocable and non-sub-licensable license under fair and reasonable conditions to use the relevant and significant pre-existing rights and sideground rights that are needed to use the IPRs attached to the results after termination of the participation agreement. SPRIND shall be entitled to commercially exploit, further develop or have research carried out with third parties (in accordance to No. 8 below) on the respective IPRs attached to the results in the context of the EUDI Wallet project. (see § 5 (2) No. 7 Participation Agreement Non-Funding Track).</p> <p>For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes.</p>
12	<p>Are you interested in novel biometric authentication options? Or is this outside the scope of this Funke?</p>	<p>Yes we are! Whats happening within the wallet is subject to this competition. Please keep in mind that the requirements for the PID are listed.</p>
13	<p>The LSP got much public funding. Are there wallets developed in the LSP's? If so, is the sourcecode of these prototypes available as opensource for all?</p>	<p>We are not presenting the LSP. We are not authorized to answer this question. We want to add that we recommend teams to use opensource. There is the reference wallet implementation of the European Commission, which is available opensource for the LSPs. You are free to use as much as you want from this reference implementation.</p>
14	<p>How about the IPR (intellectual property rights) of wallet prototype providers? Will the applicants remain owners of the rights or do they give away the right to distribute the wallet? Considering funded and non-funded track.</p>	<p>The participant retains the ownership of all the rights on the results that it generates. This includes the rights on newly created material generated by the participant and the rights on pre-existing and sideground material generated by the participant that may be included in the results or that is essential for the functioning of the use of the results. (§ 5 (1) Participation Agreement).</p> <p>For rights and obligations in relation to IPR (especially the obligation to grant licenses and license terms), see § 5 (2) of the Participation Agreement Funded Track / Non Funding Track.</p> <p>For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes.</p>
15	<p>There is always a trade-off between privacy, usability, security and complexity. Is the assumption correct that privacy and security are the main focus of this run which would come at the sacrifice of usability and most likely user acceptance in the long run.</p>	<p>We do not want to sacrifice anything. That's why it is a challenge. If we don't build something that can be used by a lot of people it is not an innovation. We need a balance of all these aspects.</p>
16	<p>Question: with Relying Party, you mean: - a mobile verifier app - a web based service verifying - a combination of both ?</p>	<p>This is up to you. Make your application attractive as you want.</p>
17	<p>Could you confirm that SCA includes dynamic linking?</p>	<p>high definition.</p>
18	<p>You mentioned PID Issuance and presentation requiring tapping the card against the NFC in the phone each time or loading directly into the wallet. There was an document you referenced that details what methods are supported. Can you provide the link to that document please?</p>	<p>The Architecture Proposal of the Architecture and Consultation Function (of which this Funke is part of) lists some Reference Designs for implementing the PID functions in conjunction with the German eID system. https://gitlab.opencode.de/bmi/eudi-wallet/eidas-2.0-architekturkonzept/-/blob/main/architecture-proposal.md?ref_type=heads Please note, the reference is included in the tender.</p>

SPRIN-D

19	Is this opened to US based startups or is it only for German startups?	The Funke is open to applications from all countries. Please take note of the form regarding the Russia sanctions
20	How many non-funded teams are going to be accepted?	Max. 6 teams
21	How long time before the evaluations must the deliverables of the stage be delivered?	7 days
22	Is it fine to participate in a large scale pilot for EUDIW and here at the same time?	For the funded track, please be aware of the double funding exclusion. For the non-funding call, there are no special considerations.
23	<p>“Mit Unterstützung internationaler Expert:innen wählt die SPRIND für den Funded Track und den Non-Funding Track jeweils bis zu sechs Teams aus, die zur Teilnahme in Stufe 1 zugelassen werden.” Also eig. 12? Wie viele jetzt genau pro Track bzw. insgesamt?</p> <p>Translation: "With the support of international experts, SPRIND selects up to six teams each for the Funded Track and the Non-Funding Track, which are admitted to participate in Stage 1." So actually 12? Exactly how many per track or in total?</p>	Max. 6 plus max. 6
24	<p>Ich habe es nicht ganz verstanden: Werden Reisekosten nach Leipzig bezahlt?</p> <p>Translation: I didn't quite understand it: Are travelling expenses to Leipzig paid?</p>	Yes. The travel expenses incurred by the team members (max. 3 persons) when travelling to the SPRIND Challenge selection event will be reimbursed by SPRIND. The basis for this is the Federal Travel Expenses Act.
25	Are all German eID cards the same or are there different technical standards of cards in circulation? Is there anything that we need to know about these cards that will be constraints or considerations for PID Issuance?	The eID cards and the online-identification function are based on the same set of Technical Standards. You may have a look at https://www.ausweisapp.bund.de/en/software-development-kit-sdk BMI will be providing a base implementation of a PID issuer, which works with the eID Client SDK.
26	Why do SPRIN-D have such strong rights in the company in the non-funding stream? In particular, I am referring to § 5, 9 and 15. As a company, we would give away our independence to a certain degree and would have risks.	As a public institution, SPRIND is subject to special framework conditions when financing or funding projects. SPRIND must safeguard its legitimate interests as well as the long-term and sustainable success of the EUID wallet project. For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes . For further questions send an e-mail to funke-eudi@sprind.org .
27	If you don't get selected for the funding track, can you still become part of the non-funding track?	The jury might offer this option to you. It is up to you if you want to take the chance afterwards.
28	Does publication of the source code in the Funded Track require publishing it with an open source license, i.e. also granting a patent license? Or is read-only published source also acceptable?	<p>The participant shall publish the results as well as all necessary pre-existing rights and sideground IPRs under the open-source licensing terms and conditions of "Apache 2.0" open source software license and "Attribution 4.0 International CC (Creative Commons) license" on the platform OpenCoDe (see § 5 (2) No. 6 Participation Agreement Funded Track). These licences are not read-only licences.</p> <p>For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes.</p>
29	Wird für QES ein QTSP als partner "gestellt" oder ist dies von den Teams mitzubringen?	The teams are at least supposed to build their mock QTSP (same for EAA providers). Whatever is being used for implementation and test, must be provided to SPRIND, the jury and the LSP for testing, too. The only exception is the PID issuer. Teams can built their own or rely on the one provided by BMI.
30	What are other 3rd parties?	The term "Third party" is defined in § 2 DEFINITIONS.
31	With the QES function, is there a requirement to use a certified QSCD, or are you looking at solutions that could in the future be certified?	New ideas are also interesting, but we are looking for an innovation of authorization of QES.
32	Can this project be done in English?	Yes, the project can be done in English.
33	What langs should wallet provides?	English is a must, German and other languages are appreciated.

SPRIND

34	I understand, that the wallet MUST support the German eID card. How important is it to support other German eCards (e.g. eGK, HPC, ePassport, Banking Cards) or other currently notified European eID-means?	In the context of the PID function, eID cards must be supported. The other listed cards are out of scope for PIDs.
35	“Der:Die Teilnehmer:in ergreift alle angemessenen und erforderlichen Maßnahmen, um ein ordnungsgemäßes Management der Ergebnisse zu gewährleisten. Der Teilnehmer muss sicherstellen, dass die Ergebnisse identifiziert, aufgezeichnet und sorgfältig von den Erzeugnissen anderer Forschungs- und Entwicklungstätigkeiten, die nicht unter diesen Wettbewerb fallen, unterschieden werden können.” - non-funded track Warum gibt es diese Voraussetzung? Für den non-funded track sollte doch genau sowas möglich sein, dass Organisationen die ggf. Teil eines Forschungsprojektes (z.B. LSP) sind hier unkompliziert ohne funding teilnehmen können ohne einen extra orga aufwand mit der trennung der Projekte zu haben! Das ist für viele ein großes Problem!	Please send an e-mail to funke-eudi@sprind.org .
36	when do you announce teams who are invited to the pitch?	The teams will be informed in the week following the deadline whether they have been invited to the Pitch Day.
37	Pitch day is only in-person or hybrid (part of the team can join remotely together with a representative being in-person)?	3 team members can take part in the pitch. We recommend participation on site. A hybrid setting can be made possible.
38	<p>1) Will be this webinar posted in your online channel? And could you please detailed explanation for proposal?</p> <p>2) Source codes should be posted in the GitHub in public? Cannot posted in private (in this case and your evaluator can access it if we register their accounts)?</p> <p>3) Should be an EUDI Wallet based on SSI(self-sovereign identity)?</p> <p>4) Relying party is verifier(service provider)?</p> <p>5) Creative additions is not main evaluation criteria for selection by you?</p> <p>6) Non-legal entity, like us (SSI-based Open Source SW community) with other legal entity can be participated in this program?</p> <p>7) Please share details for passing in each steps? with evaluation scoring table?</p> <p>8) You have a build EUDI Wallet ecosystem to cover EU member-state citizen or organization?</p> <p>9) What is benefit to participate in the program?</p> <p>10) After 3rd step, you will launched one of two projects in public?</p> <p>11) Will you provide an application form?</p>	<p>1) No, the webinar will not be published. Please see tender for further information.</p> <p>2) as specified, we will providing the private repo for the teams to publish their code for assessment. This way we ensure confidentiality of the work results until the decision about which team goes to the next stage is made.</p> <p>3) The technical standards for EUDI Wallet and the Funke are defined in the ARF and the Architecture Proposal. You are free to design your proposal as long as those requirements are fulfilled. Please note: Germany decided to not use blockchain technology for PID and government issued credentials.</p> <p>4) Yes, see terms as defined in the eIDAS regulation.</p> <p>5) it is a selection criteria, which is relevant if the base requirements are met.6) Applications are open to teams of all legal forms, e.g. universities, non-university research institutions, established companies, start-ups or incubators. It is also possible to jointly participate as a consortium. We refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes.</p> <p>7) https://www.sprind.org/en/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes/</p> <p>8) There is no EUDI Wallet ecosystem yet, the Funke aims at building wallet prototypes, only.</p> <p>9) Please see Tender.</p> <p>10) The wallet prototypes will be tested and influence the German concept for the EUDI Wallet ecosystem, the prototypes will not be used in production.</p> <p>11) You can find the application form online: https://www.sprind.org/en/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes/</p>
39	What are the criteria that influences the final decision which teams are chosen?	Please find the criteria in the tender.
40	<p>Die Abgrenzung bestehender F&E-Ergebnisse ist etwas unklar. Könnt Ihr das bitte nochmal konkreter ausführen?</p> <p>Translation: The delimitation of existing R&D results is somewhat unclear. Could you please be more specific?</p>	Please send an e-mail to funke-eudi@sprind.org
41	In order to build the most trustworthy, user-friendly, and universally applicable European Digital Identity Wallets what is your approach to tackle data protection and privacy by design? Have you foreseen a data protection impact assessment as a mandatory task as part of the development the prototypes?	The teams are required to document how the chosen/proposed design fulfills the privacy requirements as basis for the assessment by the jury.

SPRIND

42	What is the selection criteria? What happens when you have more than 6 solid proposals, how would you differentiate ultimately?	The selection criterias are listed in the tender. Please have a look.
43	How deep should prototyping go? Do we need to integrate real core systems (merchants and banks etc) or is UI mocking sufficient?	Mocks are sufficient.
44	Should the proposed wallet solution aim at implementing all six architectural flavours, or could a team choose to not implement some of those six options for duly justified reasons?	Every team is free to chose the options to implement. It can also come up with alternative designs, as long as those fulfill the requirements defined in the tender.
45	Who owns the commercial rights of the inventions made during the challenge to fulfill the request of being creative and groundbreaking. (both for funded and non-funded projects)	We refer to § 5 Participation Agreement Funded Track / Non Funded Track. For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well es further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes .
46	Can a company hand in multiple applications?	Yes.
47	Except for requirement in-writing by you, the remaing is opened about technical an/or non-technical oprions?	The requirements set the baseline. You are innovate as long as you comply with the requirements.
48	Does a soulution need to be inlline with legal boundaries, either existing ones or coming ones like eIDAS2 and the implementing acts. Is this question an evaluatiion criteria either?	No, it is not a criteria.
49	For me was not clear. If the 300k of the first two stages is for both or for each of the stages?	In the three months of stage 1, SPRIND supports the participating teams with up to 300,000 EUR each, depending on the financial requirements that the teams submit with their application. Up to 300,000 EUR per team are planned for funding in stage 2, and up to 350,000 EUR per team for stage 3.
50	what is exactly expected to be presented on may 16th? already prototype code or the concept and needet money enough?	The application and your team.
51	Need teams to start from scratch to get funding or is it possible to build upon (funded) research results.	You can build on public research results.
52	The application deadline (and start of state 1) is relatively soon and most teams are probably still involved in other projects - is there a chance the deadline and start of state 1 could be delayed to have more time?	Unfortunately not, we need to meet timelines of the LSP POTENTIAL and the overall project.
53	I represent a spanish QTSP. Is it possible for us to participate?	Yes
54	What does it exactly means the work on this contribution to FUNKE is no longer being funded by other public funds? I mean not from now ? Or ever?	According to the <i>Call for Tenders</i> , applicants must ensure that the work on their contribution to Funke is not already being financed with other public funding. In addition, according to § 4 (6) <i>Participation Agreement funded-track</i> , the participant undertakes to use all support granted by SPRIND on the basis of this competition exclusively within the scope of this competition (earmarking) and to provide proof of this to SPRIND upon request. In particular, it is not permitted to use such support services within the scope of this competition that were granted by SPRIND or third parties on the basis of another challenge or Funke without the prior written consent of SPRIND. For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well es further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes .
55	If the proposal organization (non-EU are) will be selected for presentation, the organization need to visit i n your place?	Yes, the travel expenses incurred by the team members (max. 3 persons) when travelling to the SPRIND Challenge selection event will be reimbursed by SPRIND. The basis for this is the Federal Travel Expenses Act.
56	What technology readiness level (TRL) should be achieved for the different functions (PID, EAA, additional services) at the end of the challenge?	TRL 6

SPRIN-D

57	Some of the flows of the EUDIW are already developed and in operations, e.g., SCA via App, GesundheitsID on IoA_HIGH, SCA for QES. Those solutions which are already patented and with reserved IP might be considered when developing the EUDIW. So, is it allowed to employ those IP protected services (e.g. via APIs) in order to have the best UX, Or do we need to find a solution that avoids all potential patent disputes?	Any solution can be used as long as the IPR requirements as defined in the tender are fulfilled.
58	If a consortium / a collaboration will apply for the challenge and only the lead contracting company is signing the contract (as you just said) - could the partners in the consortium be changed over the time of the challenge if needed ?	<p>The respective Participation Agreement must be signed by and entered into between SPRIND and a "Participant" within the meaning of the Participation Agreement. As the contracting party, the "Participant" must comply with the provisions of the Participation Agreement. Internal arrangements within a potential consortium shall take these requirements into account.</p> <p>Relating to the transfer of the contractual position itself, we refer to § 14 of the respective Participation Agreement.</p> <p>For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes.</p>
59	It is stated in the SPRIN-D documentation for stage 3: The prototype must permit pseudonymous login to Relying Parties' websites and apps. Does pseudonymous login mean selective disclosure? Shall we implement SD-JWT and ISO mDL MSO for selective disclosure? And/or should we implement a selective disclosure protocol based on the German eID (EAC2 protocol)?	The work on an interoperable design for pseudonymous login is still ongoing on EU level. For the time being, the assumption is that the pseudonymous login is implemented using the SIOp v2 protocol using key pairs managed in the wallet (or it's WSCD respectively). That might change to use of dedicated EAAs in SD-JWT or mdoc.
60	Can we make any type of the Verifiable Data Resistry?	Please be aware the ARF only refers to X.509 and OpenID Federation for trust and key management. Moreover, the political decision was made, to not rely on blockchains in the German Architecture Concept for PID and other credentials provided by government authorities.
61	Since IPR need to be licenced is it possible to enter only a certain innovation and demo it within a larger wallet app which itself is out of scope?	As stated in the tender, the complete solution must be provided under the IPRs defined in the tender.
62	Is it still possible that Germany will decide for the „state“ operating model - even though this challenge is coming up? Or is this already a slight hint towards a hybrid/ private wallet model? It might help wallet provider teams in terms of economic predictability.	The Funke is not related to the discussion about the operating model. It is about testing technical options. The operating model will be further discussion in a different stream of the Architecture and Consultation process.
63	According to Art. 5c eIDAS2 the wallet shall be certified (most likely according to Common Criteria). Is it expected to provide contributions towards certification within the challenge? Are there drafts of applicable protection profiles?	Work on the certification ist still underway. That's why the tender lists the pre-existing eIDAS documents and TR 3107 as reference points for assessment of the PID solution. The EAA function, going forwards, shall be applicable with resistance against attack potentials on different levels (depending on the requirements of the respective use case).
64	Is the Apache 2.0 License also required for the RP and Issuer prototype to display your work? Or just the EU ID Wallet?	<p>Funded track: The participant shall publish the results as well as all necessary pre-existing rights and sideground IPRs under the open-source licensing terms and conditions of "Apache 2.0" open source software license and "Attribution 4.0 International CC (Creative Commons) license" on the platform OpenCoDe (see § 5 (2) No. 6 Participation Agreement Funded Track).</p> <p>For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes.</p>

SPRIND

65	What does §5 13. mean in the non-funded track. Do we have to give all contestants access to our source code and IPRs!?!?	<p>§ 5 (2) No. 13 does mean that the respective participant retains his/her rights to further development, modification and commercial exploitation of all foreground IPRs and Results of this PCP (non-funding track). The Repository is just for monitoring and evaluating the participant in the context of competition. The participant shall have the right but not the obligation to publish the results, see § 5 (2) No. 2.</p> <p>For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes.</p>
66	Are the implementors and operators of the Reference PID Issuer allowed to participate in the challenge or will these organisations be excluded from the competition because of potential insider knowledge?	The implementor/operator of the PID Issuer has not taken part in the preparation of the Funke tender in order to ensure equal conditions for all potential Funke applicants.
67	How about conflicts with other fundings (e.g. Erasmus) and the SPRIND fundings?	<p>The participant undertakes to use all support granted by SPRIND on the basis of this competition exclusively within the scope of this competition (earmarking) and to provide proof of this to SPRIND upon request. In particular, it is not permitted to use such support services within the scope of this competition that were granted by SPRIND or third parties on the basis of another challenge or Funke without the prior written consent of SPRIND. (prohibition of double funding) (§ 4 (6) Participation Agreement Funded Track). Applicants must therefore ensure that the work involved for the Funke is not already being financed by other public funding sources. Whether SPRIND's funding will jeopardize other public funds varies from the conditions of the respective agreement.</p> <p>For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes.</p>
68	Will receiving funding by SPRIND jeopardize other public funding that various teams may receive during the run of the different stages?	<p>Whether SPRIND's funding will jeopardize other public funds varies from the conditions of the respective agreement.</p> <p>For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes.</p>
69	Would the compatibility with US standards in selective disclosure (for instance BBS+) beyond the EU ones be considered by the jury in the selection? is cross atlantic interoperability a plus in evaluation?	Extended interoperability is considered in the assessment as an addition if the core requirements of the Tender are being met
70	Hi there, Congrats on the initiative. For Torsten: Regarding the Exchange Protocol: - For OID4VC, which version of OID4CI/OID4VP is expected? - Can SIOP be considered, or must an IDP be in place? Regards.	OID4VP draft 20 OID4VCI ID1/Draft 13 SIOP draft 13 shall be considered, no IDP as we are looking for wallet-based, decentralized solutions
71	Could you please share the open source wallet framework link ? As mentioned in an answer in previous question . Thank you	The EU's Reference Wallet Implementation can be found at https://github.com/eu-digital-identity-wallet/.github/blob/main/profile/reference-implementation.md
72	Are there any barriers to entry other than being Russian?	No.
73	Can we send questions to a particular email address for questions later in the process?	Please send an e-mail to funke-eudi@sprind.org .

SPRIND

74	<p>Bitte definiert es für alle noch mal klar und deutlich: Die Ansage / Erwartung ist in dieser Form richtig? Bitte nutzt nur existierende open source componenten und protokoll - diese sind reif. Das schränkt innovationen beim credential flow natürlich direkt ein. Das hat SPRIND / BMI aber auf dem Radar, weil erwartet wird dass sich parallel ein stärkerer Standard etabliert?</p> <p>Translation: Please define it again clearly for everyone: The announcement / expectation is correct in this form? Please only use existing open source components and protocols - these are mature. Of course, this directly restricts innovations in credential flow. But SPRIND / BMI have this on their radar because they expect a stronger standard to be established in parallel?</p>	<p>Using open source components is an advice - you could build from scratch if you feel it's necessary The listed protocol/formats are required for interoperability across wallets/RPs/issuers in the LSP POTENTIAL. The focus of the innovation competition is to build a secure, privacy preserving, usable wallet implementation with the potential to be rollout to many users in Germany, e.g. PID on LOA High. Not sure what is meant with a stronger standard is being developed in parallel.</p>
75	<p>Is there any benefit for submitting both platforms (Android and iOS) or is it evaluated equally compared to submitting only one?</p>	<p>It is beneficially to offer to build apps for both platforms.</p>
76	<p>Could you please post this webinar on the online channel?</p>	<p>The recording of the webinar will not be published. All webinar participants will receive the presentation. The questions and answers will be published.</p>
77	<p>Ist es geplant, in Deutschland nur EUDI-Wallets zuzulassen, die diesen Wettbewerb durchlaufen/gewonnen haben?</p> <p>Translation: Are there plans to only authorise EUDI wallets in Germany that have gone through/won this competition?</p>	<p>No, there is no relationship between the Funke and certification/licensing of wallet providers in Germany. The Funke is about building technical prototypes only.</p>
78	<p>If the LSP have not developed own wallets. Which wallets have they used? Without a wallet they can do nothing.</p>	<p>The participating member states provide the wallets for test in the LSP. Germany will be providing the prototypes built in this Funke to the LSP. LSP POTENTIAL is conceptualizing the cross-border use cases (i.e. which data is needed by relying parties, which data is available in national registries, etc.) in the first year and testing prototypes in the second year only.</p>
79	<p>Until when do we have to fulfill the technical requirement mentioned? And what should already be available for the proposal?</p>	<p>The application needs to explain how you will be fulfilling the requirements. You will need to fulfill it by the end of stages 1 and 2, respectively. For stage 3, please make a suggestion when you will be able to deliver the different functions.</p>
80	<p>Please define it again clearly for everyone: The announcement / expectation is correct in this form? Please only use existing open source components and protocols - these are mature. Of course, this directly restricts innovations in credential flow. But SPRIND / BMI has this on its radar because it expects a stronger standard to be established in parallel?</p>	<p>Using open source components is an advice - you could build from scratch if you feel it's necessary The listed protocol/formats are required for interoperability across wallets/RPs/issuers in the LSP POTENTIAL. The focus of the innovation competition is to build a secure, privacy preserving, usable wallet implementation with the potential to be rollout to many users in Germany, e.g. PID on LOA High. Not sure what is meant with it expects a stronger standard to be established in parallel.</p>
81	<p>How long is the Pitch going to be?</p>	<p>About 20 minutes pitch and 20 minutes discussion with the jury</p>
82	<p>Would it be welcome to suggest and demonstrate more than one creative use cases in phases 2 and 3 beyond what is planned within POTENTIAL or should the resources better be focused on improving the TRL level?</p>	<p>We expect you to develop prototypes that can be used for test and demonstration purposes, no production quality. We may consider more creative use cases in the assessment, if they are really compelling.</p>
83	<p>Your focus on ownership (which you cannot give away according to German law anyway) vs. licensing are a bit misleading. Once you've applied Apache 2.0 to whatever IP you have, you effectively don't have it anymore. Ownership and digital goods do not match well, so why do you keep pointing at the obvious but useless ownership retained thing?</p>	<p>According to German law, the ownership of the respective copyright is not transferable. The owner can only grant the right to use the respective IPRs on the basis of licences.</p> <p>For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes.</p>

SPRIN-D

84	How do you cover the case where someone does not get past stage 1 (max funding 300k) but you also require full pre-existing IP to be Apache 2.0 licensed? It effectively means open source everything you have for 300k. This is a bit skewed from the risk management side of things	Referring to the <i>funded-track</i> , the respective Participation Agreement requires that the participant shall publish the results as well as all necessary pre-existing rights and sideground IPRs under the open-source licensing terms and conditions of "Apache 2.0" open source software license and "Attribution 4.0 International CC (Creative Commons) license" on the platform OpenCoDe, see § 5 (2) No. 6 Participation Agreement Funded Track. For further details, we refer to the Call for Tenders and the respective Participation Agreement. These materials as well as further information and FAQs are published under the following link of the SPRIND website: https://www.sprind.org/de/challenges/eudi-wallet-prototypes .
85	I've missed the beginnig of presentation. Sorry! Will the presentation deck be shared? Same question for the recording of the session. Thank you!	The recording of the webinar will not be published. All webinar participants will receive the presentation. The questions and answers will be published.
86	The statement that these are disposable prototypes and it is okay to use them freely is harsh from the point of view of the person who technically implemented them. If that's the case, then can Team A take Team B's solution and move on with it?	Apologies if this was perceived as harsh statement. We want to make clear, we are looking for technical prototypes to get insights into the feasibility of certain technical options and to help the LSP to test use cases across member states. We are not looking to fund production ready code. As the results of the funded track are published after every stage under Apache 2.0 license, everyone is free to use this code.
87	I'm confused, do non-funded participants need to pitch?	No.
88	If I remember correctly there were plans to organise a privacy-related workshop within the consultation. Did this workshop already take place? If not, when will this workshop take place?	The workshop is scheduled for the 14th of May.
89	token / credential flow to be more robust - is that expected to be realised by newer standards	You can propose alternative flows in addition to the protocols required by the tender, if you deem those alternative flows to be superior in terms of security and/or privacy preserving and/or more robust. Please describe in this case why you came to that conclusion.
90	Is there any benefit for submitting both platforms (Android and iOS) or is it evaluated equally compared to submitting only one?	Submitting a proposal for both platforms is rated higher than submitting only one